Rev (195) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. No. 2448

Dated 21/8/53

closed
25/8/53

FOR MYSORE STATE

[Despatch Abstract.]

Registration — (Bellary) — Sub registrars office — Sandur — Alteration in Jurisdiction — Notification — publication ordered

Minister for Registration & Firearms. సమ్మతి కలదు.

MINISTER FOR AGRICULTURE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

27/11

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
27 NOV 1952
950214
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Re: Retention of the Registration Office at Cuddapah

Secy., Rev.

For-remarks.

M. (R.R.)

(2)

[illegible]

23-9-1952

[illegible]

A. Rudrutt

S. Mutalike

Shri Hastimal

Jyoti Mahadevappa

B.A. Sattar

K. Lakshmana Rao Shinde

N. Basalingappa

S. Narasimhasastry

M.V. Bhage

Bonalgi

J. Siddana Goud

G.K. Jadhav

23/9/52

A. Shanmukhappa

A. Shankarappa

[illegible]

B. H... [illegible signature]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
K. Vasudeva Rao Sandur
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
G. Narasing Rao
B. Basappa
[illegible]
K. Rudra Rao
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
T. Jamboji Rao
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
G. [illegible] Rao
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
B. Akbar Ali Sahib
T. Thimmanna
[illegible]
G. Ramachandra Rao
T. S. [illegible]
[illegible signature]
[illegible signature]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible signature]
G. Seshappa
[illegible]
R. Sreedhar Bhat
[illegible] koji Rao
ABSettan
[illegible signature]
[illegible]
R. Subbayya Setty
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

V. Channappa. Y. Nagar

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

H. Girinjappa

[illegible]

A. Subbanna.

[illegible]

[illegible]

H. Sidramappa.

Amar Singh Thakur

Jagannath Shroff

Mayurnath Shroff

[illegible]

Subba Rao.

Shroff Vithal Rao

Lakshmana Rao Shinde

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
26 NOV 1952
DEPARTMENT

Abstract of the Telugu petition on pp 1-2 ante addresse to the Minister.

The existing Sub-Registry office at Sandur has now jurisdiction over 23 (10) villages only. It appears that ~~there is a~~ it will be ~~so~~ proposed to attach these village to the Kudligi Sub-Registry office and abolish the Sub-Registry office at Sandur as there is not much work in the Sandur office and that as the expenditure is more than the income. We represent that the jurisdiction of all other departments is the entire taluk; it is surprising to see that the jurisdiction of the registration sub district is only 23 (ten) villages of the Sandur firka only and that the villages of Choranur and Thornagallu firkas have not been included in its jurisdiction. The public of the villages of these two firkas have to go to Sandur regarding their work so far as other departments are concerned and will have to go to Hospet ~~so~~ or Kudligi ~~seg~~ for registration work. Sandur is connected by Bus service with all the villages. We therefore request that all the villages of Sandur taluk may be attach to the Sub-Registry office ~~at~~ Sandur and retain the Sub-Regis office at Kudligi

6

Pl. issue
21/12/52

4/12/52

Rev. Dept

Memo. No. 95021/52.1 dt. 2.12.52

Registration department - [Bellary] - Sandur Sub-Registry office - Alteration in Jurisdiction

Ref:- From the residents ryots of Sandur taluk, Mahazar petition dt 23.9.1952

A Copy of the petition P.F. quoted above is forwarded to the I.G. of Registration for remarks.

2.12.52

28/11/52

29/11/52

To
The I.G. of Regn.
(with a Copy of pp1-2cf) Note :- The draft above is w.r.t the M(RR)'s minute on p/c

28.11.52

29/11/52

2/12/52

REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT.

From

Sri H.Krishnamurti,
Inspector-General of Registration,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

No.91/52 Off dated 12-1-1953.

Sir,

Sub: Registration Department (Bellary District) Sandur Sub-Registry Office, Alteration in jurisdiction.

Ref: Memo.No.95021-U/52-1, Revenue, dated 8-12-1952.

The erstwhile Sandur State was constituted into a seperate revenue taluk adding to it the two firkas of Thornagal and Choranur of Hospet and Kudligi Taluks respectively. The jurisdiction of the sub registry office at Sandur which was opened on 1-8-50 is confined only to Sandur Firka and does not extend to the firkas Thornagal and Choranur. In their petition to Government (copy of which is forwarded with the memo. cited above) the ryots of Sandur taluk request that the two firkas referred to may also be added to the registration sub district of Sandur.

Not put up

On receipt of G.O.Ms.No.2073, Public (Political-A) dated 4-5-50 directing the constitution of Sandur taluk, the question of transferring the villages lying in the two firkas of Thornagal and Choranur to Sandur sub district was considered. But the proposal had to be dropped as the Collector reported that only the residents of eight villages out of 56 villages lying in the two firkas were agreeable to the proposed transfer.

The matter is again taken up for consideration on the representation of the ryots of the two firkas requesting the transfer of their villages to Sandur sub district. The office is also not self-supporting and the need for extending the jurisdiction of the office is therefore found necessary. Taking all these into consideration I have already instructed the Registrar of Bellary to meet the District Collector in person and with the assistance of the Revenue Department send up proposals for the transfer of as many villages as possible to the sub district of Sandur from Hospet and Kudligi sub districts with a view to make the office self-supporting and to provide convenience to the registering public. On receipt of the Registrar's report, I shall submit necessary draft notification for approval.

Yours faithfully,

Personal Assistant,
for I.G. of Regn.

SNK/12/1

To As.

Rev Dept

~~The abstract in English on page 5 ante and the I. G's letter on page 7 above may be perused - the further report promised at A on p 7 above may be awaited till 1-4-57~~

[illegible]
23/2/57

Put up a note for circn. [illegible] 23/2

REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT. 8

From

Sri H. Krishnamurti,
Inspector-General of Registration,
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, Fort St. George,
Madras.

No.91/52-Off., dated 22nd April, 1953.

Sir,

Subject:- Registration Department - Bellary District - Sub-Registry Office, Sandur - Alteration in jurisdiction.

Refce:- Memorandum No.95021-U/51-3, Revenue, dated 18-3-1953.

In Memorandum No.95021-U.52-1, Revenue, dated 2-12-1952, Government called for my remarks on a petition dated 23-9-1952 from the ryots of Sandur Taluk requesting the extension of the jurisdiction of Sub-Registry Office, Sandur, to the Choranur and Thoranagal firkas, with a view to make that office a permanent one. The District Registrar, Bellary, who, as reported by me in my letter No.91/52-Off., dated 12-1-1953, has been asked to submit proposals for transferring as many villages as possible to Sandur Sub-District, examined the question and reported that 15 villages in Choranur firka attached to Kudligi Sub-district and 15 villages in Thoranagal firka of which 10 are attached to Hospet Sub-district and 5 to Bellary Sub-district, might be transferred to Sandur Sub-district, since such a transfer would contribute to the convenience of the registering public of those villages. The matter was referred to the Collector of Bellary. The Assistant Collector, Hospet, has stated that the village officers and respectable residents of the above 15 villages of Choranur Firka have agreed to the transfer and that as regards Thoranagal firka, the villagers are against the change, their reasons being that their villages are far away from Sandur and that transport facilities are not available. Holding that the grounds adduced by the dissenting villagers cannot be accepted, the Assistant Collector has recommended that of the 30 villages proposed by the District Registrar, all the 15 villages of Choranur firka, and 10 villages in the Thoranagal firka the distances of which from Sandur are less than their distances from their present Registration Office at Hospet, may be attached to Sub-Registry Office, Sandur, for administrative reasons and that the question of further enlarging the jurisdiction of Sub-Registry Office, Sandur may be considered after 3 years. The Collector of Bellary while endorsing the Assistant Collector's recommendations, says that the matter may be deferred, pending the formation of the Andhra State. I agree with the Collector and submit that proposals for altering the jurisdiction of the above office need not be considered now.

In this connection, I invite a reference to G.O.Ms.No.2105 Revenue, dated 19-7-1952, according sanction for the retention of the staff of the above Sub-Registry Office for a period of one year from 1-8-1952. Proposals for continuing the same for a further period of one year from 1-8-1953 will be submitted to Government in due course.

Yours faithfully,

Personal Assistant
for I.G. of Regn.

K.S
22/7

Rev Dept

Memo No 95-0212/52-3 dt 22/7/53

pl. issue
hia
22/7

Registration Dept - Bellary dt. -
Sub Registry office, Sandur - Alteration
in jurisdiction -
From the I. G. of Regn No 91/52-Off dated
22.4.53

pr 4

The Govt Consider that it is not
necessary to defer the proposal for the
altering the jurisdiction of Sandur
Sub Regry office till the formation of the Andhra State
and that the ~~25~~
proposal should be given effect to forthwith.
~~villages of Choranur and Thoranagal~~
~~fiskas referred to in the I. G's letter~~
~~Sanded above should now be transferred now~~
~~itself to the jurisdict~~
~~to Sub Registry office, Sandur~~ - The

I.G. of Regn. is accordingly requested to submit draft notification for approval of the Govt

20/7/53

21/2/53

(Keep clean OC.)

To the I.G. of Regn

20/7/53

Revenue Department

Memorandum No.95021 U/52- , dated 22-7-53.
***

Sub:- Registration Department - Bellary district - Sub Registry Office, Sandur - Alteration in Jurisdiction.

Ref:- From the Inspector General of Registration No.91/52 - off dated 22-4-53.

The Government consider that it is not necessary to defer the proposal for altering the jurisdiction of Sandur Sub Registry Office till the formation of the Andhra State and that the proposal should be given effect to forthwith. The Inspector General of Registration is accordingly requested to submit draft notification for approval of the Government.

T. NAMBI NAIR,
Assistant Secretary to Govt.,

To The Inspector General of Registration.

Forwarded/By Order,

O.C

ks.22.7.

Superintendent.

C.F. 52 11,88,480—24-12-52.

REGISTRATION Department

From (Name) Sri H. Krishnamurti,

Designation Inspector-General of Registration,

Station Madras.

Received _____ Registered _____

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Fort St. George,
Madras.

Enclosures: No. 91/53 Off., dated 5th August, 1953.

Sir,

MINISTERIAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
8 AUG 1953
To 67471U
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Subject: REGISTRATION - Bellary - Sub-Registrar's Office, Sandur - Alteration in jurisdiction - Draft Notification - Submitted.

Reference:- (i) My letter No.91 Off., dated 22-4-1953.
and
(ii) Government Memorandum No.95021-U/52, Revenue, dated 22-7-1953.

The draft notification called for in the Government Memorandum cited above is submitted herewith in duplicate for approval and publication in the Fort St. George Gazette.

Yours faithfully,

Personal Assistant, 7/8
for I.G. of Regn.

A.S. 7/8.

TRANSFER OF VILLAGES FOR REGISTRATION PURPOSES.

NOTIFICATION.

(Note.—Only columns 1 to 5 of the list to be published).

In pursuance of ~~Under sub-section (1) of~~ section 5(1) of the Indian Registration Act, 1908 (Central Act XVI of 1908), ~~His Excellency~~ the Governor of Madras hereby directs that with effect on and from the 1st October 1953, the villages ~~hereinafter mentioned~~ specified in column (3) of the table below which now form part of the registration sub-district ~~named~~ specified against them in column (4) of the said table be transferred to and form part of the registration sub-district ~~named~~ specified against them in column (5) thereof in the registration district of Bellary. The limits of the villages shall be the limits which shall from time to time be determined for purposes of revenue administration:--

Table.

S.No.	Survey No.	Name of village.	Transferred. From sub-district.	Transferred. To sub-district.	Distance from Present head-quarters.	Distance from Proposed head-quarters.	Annual average registrations for the three years ending 1951.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1.	28	Toranagallu Ingaligi.	HOSPET	SANDUR	20.	13	19
2.	55	Shro Gangalapuram.			21	6	1
3.	57	Taluru.			22	8	15
4.	53	Shro. Bannihatti.			21	7	4
5.	59	Basapuram.			16	11	5
6.	54	Gauripuram.			24	6	1
7.	58	Vaddu.			20	10	7
8.	56	Nagalapuram.			13	7	17
9.	27	Kurikuppi.			19	13	15
10.	64	Joga.			15	13	3

(CONTD.)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
11.	29	Agraharam. Bekkinakallu. Genitikatta. Halavarti. Kivadamarayinahalli. Malluruhalli. Nakkalahalli. Nallalabanda. Suravvanahalli.			7	18	15.
12.	30	Sovenahalli.			7	15	18.
13.	31	Hirekeriyaginahalli Chitrayinahalli. Huchainahalli. Jogikallu. Kappatrahalu.			8	13	3
14.	34	Chikkakeriyaginahalli. Bandihalli. Bevinamaradahalli. Gangalapuram. Nirakallu. Shiramashettihalli. Hosuru.			5	4	5
15.	36	Hulikunta. Virapuram. Vutrimala.	Kudligi.	Sandur.	8	13	2.
16.	37	Mallapuram. Girenahalli. Kondapuram alias Valakakalahalli.			12	12	2.
17.	38	Nidagurti. Katinakamba.			10	13	1.
18.	39	Bandri Bharmapuram. Gollaladoddi alias Gollalahatti. Kodihalli.			8	10	5.
19.	40	Somalapuram. Beesapuram.			12	6	3.
20.	41	Ankamanahalu. Akkanahalli. Govanahalu. Sanyasihalli.			10	10	1.
21.	42	Devaramallapuram (Agraharam) Gollarahatti.			10	12	
22.	43	Kalingeri. Gubarahalli. Hirehalu. Rajayimahalli. Sanganagunta. Solbayinahalli. Tarlakatta. Timmanahalli. Upparahalli.			9	14	6.

(CONTD)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
23.	44	Tippanamaradi (Agraharam)	Kudligi.	Sandur.	9	14	2.
24.	46	Jiginihalli. Appajihalli. Basavanagati-halli. Hanumandurga. Settipura. Tyagadahalu.			12	13	5.
25.	45	Choranuru. Agasakatte. Ingachagunta. Rajuladoddi. Vaderahalli. Vaddinakatte. Patrahalli. Rajayinahalli.			10	15	12.

Whether the transfer is desired by the villagers and has the consent of the Collector. : Yes.

(CONTD).

16

Special reasons for transfer.

The registering public of the villages mentioned in pages 1 to 3 represent that their villages are situate in the newly formed taluk of Sandur and that they will be generally going to Sandur, their Taluk headquarters for some purpose or other. They have to go to Kudligi and Hospet exclusively for the purpose of registration of their documents and they therefore desire that these villages may be transferred to the registration sub-district of Sandur.

Though some of the villages are nearer to their present headquarters than to Sandur, the Collector has recommended their transfer to Sandur for administrative reasons. The transfer of the villages does not affect the work of the relieved offices so as to involve any readjustment of clerical strength. So the transfer of the villages may be approved.

7/8
A.S.7/8.

Personal Assistant, 7/8
for I.G. of Regn.

Duplicate copy 17

CONFIDENTIAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
674730
8 AUG 1953
To
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

TRANSFER OF VILLAGES FOR REGISTRATION PURPOSES.

NOTIFICATION.

(Note.—Only columns 1 to 5 of the list to be published).

Under sub-section (1) of section 5 of the Indian Registration Act, 1908 (Central Act XVI of 1908), His Excellency the Governor of Madras hereby directs that with effect on and from the 1st October, 1953 the villages hereinafter mentioned in column 3 which now form part of the registration sub-district named against them in column 4 be transferred to and form part of the registration sub-district named against them in column 5 in the registration district of Bellary. The limits of the villages shall be the limits which shall from time to time be determined for purposes of revenue administration:--

S.No.	Survey No.	Name of village.	Transferred. From sub-district.	Transferred. To sub-district.	Distance from Present head-quarters.	Distance from Proposed head-quarters.	Annual average registrations for the three years ending 1951.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
1.	28	Toranagallu Ingaligi.	HOSPET	SANDUR	20.	13.	19
2.	55	Shro Gangalapuram.			21	6	1
3.	57	Taluru.			22	8	15
4.	53	Shro. Bannihatti.			21	7	4
5.	59	Basapuram.			16	11	5
6.	54	Gauripuram.			24	6	1
7.	58	Vaddu.			20	10	7
8.	56	Nagalapuram.			13	7	17
9.	27	Kurikuppi.			19	13	15
10.	64	Joga.			15	13	3

(CONTD.)

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
11.	29	Agraharam. Bekkinakallu. Genitikatta. Halavarti. Kivadamarayinahalli. Malluruhalli. Nakkalahalli. Nallalabanda. Suravvanahalli.			7	18	15.
12.	30	Sovenahalli.			7	15	18.
13.	31	Hirekeriyaginahalli. Chitrayinahalli. Huchainahalli. Jogikallu. Kappatrahalu.			8	13	3
14.	34	Chikkakeriyaginahalli. Bandihalli. Bevinamaradahalli. Gangalapuram. Nirakallu. Shiramashettihalli. Hosuru.					
15.	36	Hulikunta. Virapuram. Vutrimalla.	Kudligi.	Sandur.	8	13	2.
16.	37	Mallapuram. Girenahalli. Kondapuram alias Valakakalahalli.			12	12	2.
17.	38	Nidagurti. Katinakamba.			10	13	1.
18.	39	Bandri Bharmapuram. Gollaladoddi alias Gollalahatti. Kodihalli.			8	10	5.
19.	40	Somalapuram. Beesapuram.			12	6	3.
20.	41	Ankamanahalu. Akkanahalli. Govanahalu. Sanyasihalli.			10	10	1.
21.	42	Devaramallapuram (Agraharam) Gollarahatti.			10	12	
22.	43	Kalingeri. Gubarahalli. Hirehalu. Rajayinahalli. Sanganagunta. Solbayinahalli. Tarlakatta. Timmanahalli. Upparahalli.			9	14	6.

(CONTD).

19

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.
23.	44	Tippanamaradi (Agraharam)	Kudligi.	Sandur.	9	14	2.
24.	46	Jiginihalli. Appajihalli. Basavanagati-halli. Hanumandurga. Settipura. Tyagadahalu.			12	13	5.
25.	45	Choranuru. Agasakatte. Ingachagunta. Rajuladoddi. Vaderahalli. Vaddinakatte. Patrahalli. Rajayinahalli.			10	15	12.

Whether the transfer is desired by the villagers and has the consent of the Collector.	Yes.

(CONTD).

20

Special reasons for transfer.

The registering public of the villages mentioned in pages 1 to 3 represent that their villages are situate in the newly formed taluk of Sandur and that they will be generally going to Sandur, their Taluk headquarters for some purpose or other. They have to go to Kudligi and Hospet exclusively for the purpose of registration of their documents and they therefore desire that these villages may be transferred to the registration sub-district of Sandur.

Though some of the villages are nearer to their present headquarters than to Sandur, the Collector has recommended their transfer to Sandur for administrative reasons. The transfer of the villages does not affect the work of the relieved offices so as to involve any readjustment of clerical strength. So the transfer of the villages may be approved.

19/7/8
A.S.7/8.

U Ramanathan 7/8
Personal Assistant,
for I.G. of Regn.

21

Rev. Dept.

[C No. 450212/52] p 8 cf
p 11 cf
p 12 cf

Read. From the I. G. of Regn. No. 91/52 - Off dated 22.4.53
Memo No. 950212/53 - 3 Dt 22.7.53
From the I. G. of Regn. No. 91/53 off Dt 5/8/53

Go. Ms No. 2448 Dt 21.8.53

The appended notification will be published in the Fort St George Gazette

13/8/53

~~2. The S.G.P. is requested to see that the notification is published in the next issue of the Fort St George Gazette i.e. 26.8.53.~~

Desp'd CTS 28/8.

To the I. G. of Regn.
" S.G.P. for publication with notification
" Collector of Bellary
" Legal Dept.
~~Copy~~ To the Controller of Stationery & Printing ~~Secy~~ Secy 2
Original to Mysore Govt
Copy to Andhra Govt

Appendix
Notification

(H.E. notification as amended in pencil on pp 13-15 cf)

22

Reg. Rev. Dep

C. No. 95021 24/52 : Registration department - (Bellary) - Sub Sandur Sub - Registry Office - Alteration in jurisdiction

pp 1-2 CF From the ryots of Sandur taluk, petition of 23.9.52
P 6 CF Issued as memo. No. 95021 24/52:1 Revenue of 2.12.1952
P.7 CF From the I.G. of Registration, letter No. 91 Off of 12.1.1953.

Extracts of the minutes of M(R.R) on p.1 CF
" Secy. Rev"
"For remarks"
"K.V.N.
24.11.52
M(R.R).

To As

Please see the petition from the ryots of Sandur Taluk in Bellary district on pp 1-2 CF (an English translation is on ~~pp~~ p.5 CF), and the report of the I.G. on p.7 CF.

pp. 1-2 CF

2. In their petition on pp 1-2 CF, the residents of Sandur taluk have stated that they ~~[illegible]~~ learnt that the Sandur Sub-Registry Office was proposed ~~to~~ for closure as it was not a self supporting one and they have requested that the Office should not be closed and that ~~the other two firk~~ the Choranur and Tornagal firkas of the Taluk may be brought within the Jurisdiction of the Sandur Sub-Registry office to make it self supporting. Consequent on the merger of the Sandur State the I.G of Registration proposed in 1949 the abolition of the State Registration office in Sandur and introduction of the itinerating system of registration of documents. Later on it was considered that all the amenities which the State Capital had prior to merger should be continued lest the public should feel discontented at the loss of the amenities as a result of the merger. The I.G. of Registration accordingly proposed the constitution of a new ~~s~~ registration subdistrict of Sandur and it was sanctioned with effect from ~~to~~ 1.8.1950. It

pp 1-3 CF of (K) - removed in Rev 8/7
T on p.2 CF of (K)
M on p. 8 CF of (K)
A
pp 8-13 CF of (K)
p. 18 CF of (K)

was even then expected ~~to function with a deficit~~ to function with deficit income ~~but~~ and that in about a year it would be a self supporting one. But in 1951, the I.G. of Regn stated that there was ~~prospect of the any~~ no improvement in the revenue of the sub district However in view of A on p.1 ante the ~~retention of the Sub~~ Govt have been sanctioning the retention of the sub district year after year the I.G. of Regn has stated that even prior to the receipt of the petition he had taken up the question of extending the jurisdiction of the sub district in order to make the Sub Registry office self supporting ~~one~~ and had asked the Dt. Registrar to submit proposals in this regard and that he would submit the necessary draft notification in the matter. Presumably the ryots have mistaken the action taken by the Dt. Registrar for the extension of the jurisdiction of the sub district as a measure ~~too~~ for the closure of the Sub-Registry office at Sandur. Action may be taken on receipt of the draft notification from the I.G. ~~No action is called for on the petition on pp.1-2 CF~~

The file may now be circulated to M(R.R) and M(Agrl) with reference to their minutes on p.1 CF

C.No. 95021 U/52 R on p 9 CF (K)

A on p. 1 CF (3)

P.4 of (G) & P.3 of (D) remove by ...

B on p 2 CF

R

p 2 CF

A

Proposals may be awaited.

11.3.53

14/3

11.3.53

11.3.53

S

M(RR) / M(Agrl)

14/3/53

Venkataramana

M (R-R.) 14/3/53 / M (Agrl) 14/3

C 13/3

To AS

With reference to the orders at A above a draft memo reminder to the I.G. of Regn is submitted for approval

17.3.53

18.3.53

... GOVERNMENT 17 MAR 1953 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

U4

2 ~~4~~

Issued as Memo No. 95021 [illegible]/52-3 Revenue Dy
From the I. G. of Regn. letter No. 91/52 Off Dy [illegible]
To As

P 8 CF

Notes from p.1 ante ~~may be perused~~ and the letter from the I.G. of Regn on p.8 CF. may be perused.

2. With reference to R on p.2 ante the I.G has now stated that the Collector of Bellary has suggested that the question of enlarging the jurisdiction of the Sub registry office at Sandur may be deferred pending the formation of the Andhra State. The I.G. has agreed with the Collector. Sandur is one of the taluks ~~in Bellary district~~ proposed to be transferred to the Mysore Govt from the Bellary district on the formation of the Andhra State. It is for orders whether the ~~department also may agree with the Collector's suggestion to leave the question to the Mysore Govt after the area is transferred to them.~~ proposal may be deferred pending the formation of the Andhra State as recommended by the Collector and the I. G. of Regn.

B. p 8 CF

A. May be deferred

28 [illegible]

29/4/53

Finance may see before circulation.

S
Fin

25/4/53.

27/4/53

28 [illegible]

S

29/4/53

Rev. No. No. 95021 [illegible]/52-2

29.4.53

FIN (E[illegible])

Please see the letter on p 8 CF.
Finance may agree with the view of A above.

ADDITIONAL DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
35825
1-MAY 1953
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

1.5.53.

1/5/53

2/5/53

Rev

35835

2-5-53

ADDITIONAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
5-APR 1953
393891
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

2/5/53

75

Rev. Dept. 9504 4/52

To AS

Notes from p.1 ante may be perused.
The file may now be circulated to M(R.R) and M(Agri) for orders on A on p.3 ante.

Venkatesh Naidu 11.5.53

9.5.53

9/5/53

M(R.R)/M.

Mchetha 11/5

"A" on page 3 ante approved
Venkatasuswami Naidu
M.(R.R.) 18/5/53

M.(Agri.)

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 18 MAY 1953 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

13/5

All these fishes and tanks will go together to my son. The arrangement suggested is an 'internal' arrangement and will be a step in the right direction. It does not involve any extra expenditure to the State new or old.
M(RR) may kindly see again

A

Rajagopal Goode 21/5

Recd from on on 26/5/53

26/5

Rev Dept.

To AS

Please see the minutes of M(Agri) above. The file may now be circulated to M(RR) with reference to A above. If M(RR) agrees to reconsider his decision at X above, M(Am) will have to see the case.

Venkatesh Naidu 28.5.53

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 2 JUN 1953 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

2) Type in M(Agri's) minute below and that & put in signature too. M.

On to A.S.

1) Type in M(RR's) put minute d 18/5 on the order paper, before Dn. 28/5

27/5/53

An order paper is placed below. M(RR) is requested to sign his minute d 18/5 which is reproduced in order-paper. Further orders of Masters are now solicited on both n.f. and order paper.

Mchetha 28/5

I think he can agree to the suggestion M(Agri) at "A" on page 4
Venkatasuswami Naidu 30/5/53

M.(RR.) / M.(F.

Mchetha 28/5/53

95021 u/52

26

W.r. to the minutes of R.R. on the order paper the words "at A on page 4" may be deleted the word "above" may be inserted.

As this does not involve any further expenditure I agree

M Lulu Ramanam
3.6.53

SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF ... 4 JUN 1953 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Left
[illegible]
M
1/5

Spkn
1/6/6

To As.

Please see the orders in circulation on pp 4-5 above

2 M(Finance), has suggested that in the minute of M(RR) in the order paper below the words "at A on p 4" may be deleted and the word 'above' inserted. The file may be circulated to M(RR) for ~~[illegible]~~ amending his minute as ~~[illegible]~~ suggested by M(Finance)

Me
7/6/53

[illegible]
8/6/53

S

S
M(RR)

The earlier minute "'A' on p 3 ante approved" may also be suitably amended into "the proposal may be deferred." That will make the order-paper self-sufficient for the purpose contemplated by C.M — my [illegible] being produced in Court.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 16 JUL 1953 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

[illegible]
8/6/53

[illegible]
13/7/53

(RR.)

Rev Dept

To As

A Draft memo to the I.G.G. Regn. is subd. for appl.

[illegible]
21/7/53

[illegible]
21/7/53

M. Speak
[illegible]
21/7 [illegible]

6 27 EII U4

[illegible]

Issued as Memo No. 950 2 [illegible] 22/7/53 p 11 [illegible]

[illegible]

from the Insp. of Regn. No. 91[illegible]/53 off 7/5/53 p 12 [illegible]

[illegible]

To AS

Please see the memo on p 11 cf and the letter from the I. of Regn. together with its enclosures on pp 13-20 cf. A draft order is submitted for approval

Fin. and Legal may see.

13 883

13/8/53

Fin / Legal (Scrutiny)

Rev. U.O. 95021 U/53
13/8/53

Fin (EII)

(Previous Fin note on p 2 above)
The case may be passed on to Legal (Scrutiny) after perusal of the orders in circulation on pp 4-5 above and the draft order placed below.

14853

15/8/53 Legal

Legal (Scrut.

Legal (Opinions-A) Department.

In view of section 5(3) of the Indian Registration Act, 1908, it is presumed that the proposed notification will be published in the Gazette before 1.10.1953.

2. The slight alterations indicated in red ink on the draft notification may be made.

ADDITIONAL DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
14 AUG 1953
65962
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

17-8-53

A.D.S.
Revenue

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
13404
17 AUG 1953
3.55 PM
LEGAL DEPARTMENT

18/8/53

18.8.53
A.D.S.

Revenue

Legal U.O. No.
13404/53-1
18-8-53

ADDITIONAL ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
19 AUG 1953
70100 U
LEGAL DEPARTMENT

28

7

Rev Dept

To AS

Please see the legal note at the ~~foot~~ foot of page 7 ante. The alterations to the notification suggested by legal may be accepted in this Dept.

2 The notification subd by the I. Ly says that the alteration in jurisdiction will come into effect from 1.10.53. The I. Ly originally recommended that the ~~the~~ question of altering the jurisdiction may be deferred pending formation of the Andhra State. It was however ordered in circulation that the ~~question~~ proposal ~~should be not be taken up now~~. be given effect to now itself. ~~There is only 1 month 10 days for the Andhra State to come into existence~~ The I. Ly may require some time at least a month to give effect to the change in jurisdiction. The date from which the alteration in jurisdiction may therefore be ~~come into effect from~~ 1.10.53 as suggested by I. Ly i.e. the date from ~~the~~ which the Andhra State will come into existence.

3 The presumption expressed by legal at x on p 6 ante is correct. The draft order ~~to~~ below may issue.

~~4 Public (A.S) may perhaps see before issue~~

20.8.53

20/8/53

20/8/53

Revenue Department.

Subject. Registration Dept (Bellary) – Sandur – Sub-Registry Office Alteration in Jurisdiction –.

Recommendations of the circulating officer. Proposal may be deferred pending formation of the Andhra State.

[signature] 28/5/53

~~'A' on p.3 ante approved.~~

The proposal may be deferred

K Venkataswami Naidu 13/7/53

M. (R.R.)

Orders of Ministers.

All these firkas and taluks will go together to Mysore. The arrangement suggested is an 'internal arrangement and will be a step in the right direction. It does not involve any extra expenditure to the State, new or old.

1 ~~M(R.R.)~~ M. (Agri) M(R.R) may kindly see again. [signature] 29/5

2 I think we agree to the suggestion of M Agri ~~at~~ but ~~"A" on page 4~~ and also as stated above by him

K Venkataswami Naidu 31/5/53

3 M. (R.R.) K Venkataswami Naidu 13/7/53

4 M. (FIN) As it involves no further expenditure I agree. [signature] 3.6.53

SECRETARY TO GOVT. 4 JUN 1953 REVENUE DEPARTMENT

If orders passed in Cabinet, decision of Cabinet.